



Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### Posters Judging Evaluation Form

Poster Title/ Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Author: \_\_\_\_\_ Type of Research: \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_ Affiliation: \_\_\_\_\_

*To evaluate a poster systematically, write the number that best describes the degree to which each criterion is met. The rating scale ranges from 5 to 1. (5=very good, 4=good, 3= average, 2=passable, 1=poor). Give only one rating to each criterion.*

<b>Category A: Abstract Content</b>		5 to 1
1	Does the abstract include a brief description of the problem, purpose, procedures, results, and implications of the project?	
2	Is the method valid?	
3	Do the results accurately reflect the evidence?	
4	Does the author draw accurate conclusions?	

<b>Category B: Overall appearance</b>		5 to 1
5	Does the display attract and hold viewer's attention?	
6	Are the graphs, charts and tables useful? (represent the data)	
7	Is the poster information organized, accurate and clear?	
8	Does the poster accurately reflect what was summarized in the abstract?	

<b>Category C: Implications and Summary</b>		5 to 1
9	Is the poster topic relevant and of interest to IT professionals	
10	Is there mention/recommendation of future activities?	

Comments:

---



---



---



---



---



---



---